## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

PHYLLIS SANTISTEVAN-SULLIVAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

TRANSDIGM GROUP INCORPORATED et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00186-TL

ORDER ON MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND CASE SCHEDULE

16

17

18

19

This matter is before the Court on Defendants' Motion for Continuance of Trial Date and Case Schedule. Dkt. No. 49. Having reviewed the relevant briefing and being fully advised on the issues, the Court GRANTS Defendants' motion.

20

21

considering a continuance, a court considers: "(1) counsel's diligence in preparing his defense

The Court may modify scheduling orders for good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). In

22

prior to the trial date; (2) whether the continuance would satisfy the defendant's needs; (3) the

2324

inconvenience a continuance would cause the court and the [other party]; and (4) the extent to

which the defendant would suffer harm if the continuance was denied." *United States v. Wilke*, 2020 WL 92005, at \*2 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 8, 2020) (citing *United States v. Zamora-Hernandez*, 222 F.3d 1046, 1049 (9th Cir. 2000)) (granting motion to continue trial). Defendants have produced thousands of pages of documents and state that there are still many documents to review and prepare for production. Dkt. No. 49 at 2. While Defendants anticipate completing document production in March or April 2024 (*id.* at 3), expert reports must be disclosed by May 3, 2024 (Dkt. No. 25). The Court finds that Defendants have been diligent in preparing their defense, the continuance would satisfy Defendants' needs, and Defendants will be harmed in their ability to defend the case thoroughly if the continuance is not granted. While Plaintiff states she has provided thorough discovery, she does not state what harm she would suffer if the continuance is granted. Dkt. No. 50 at 1.

Accordingly, the Court FINDS good cause to modify the scheduling order in this case and ORDERS the following pretrial schedule:

Event	Date
Jury Trial set for 9 a.m. on	July 7, 2025
Disclosure of expert testimony under FRCP 26(a)(2) due	December 9, 2024
Disclosure of rebuttal expert testimony under FRCP 26(a)(2) due	January 8, 2025
All motions related to discovery must be filed by	January 8, 2025
Discovery completed by	February 7, 2025
All dispositive motions and motions challenging expert witness testimony must be filed by this date ( <i>see</i> LCR 7(d))	March 6, 2025
Settlement Conference, if mediation has been requested by the parties per LCR 39.1, held no later than	April 8, 2025
Mediation per LCR 39.1, if requested by the parties, held no later than	May 8, 2025
All motions in limine must be filed	June 2, 2025
Agreed LCR 16.1 Pretrial Order due	June 16, 2025
Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, and proposed jury instructions due by this date. Counsel are to confer	June 20, 2025

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

and indicate with their submissions which exhibits are agreed to.	
Pretrial Conference scheduled for 1:00 p.m. on	June 27, 2025

The Parties are directed to follow all other dates as specified in the Court's Order Setting Jury Trial Dates and Related Dates, Dkt. No. 25, and the Local Civil Rules.

Dated this 3rd day of April 2024.

Tana Lin

United States District Judge